Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 TOKYO 10277 281234Z

44

ACTION EA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 PA-02

PRS-01 SP-02 ERDA-07 ACDA-10 IO-10 L-03 NSC-05 EB-07

NRC-07 OES-05 FEAE-00 /077 W

----- 096330

R 280847Z JUL 75 FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO TO USIA WASHDC INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 1959

UNCLAS TOKYO 10277

USIAC

E.O. 11652: N/A

SUBJECT: JIJI PRESS POLL ON ATOMIC POWER GENERATION IN JAPAN

SUMMARY: JIJI PRESS CONDUCTED POLL ON ATOMIC POWER GENERATION JUNE 10-13 AMONG SAMPLE OF OVER 1,500 ADULTS. (A) ALMOST TWO FIFTHS SAID JAPAN SHOULD FURTHER DEVELOP OWN ATOMIC POWER GENERATON, WHILE ABOUT ONE EIGHTH FELT OTHERWISE. (B) ASKED ABOUT PLUSES AND MINUESES OF A-POWER GENERATION, OVER ONE THIRD EXPRESSED VIEW A-POWER IS IMPORTANT SOURCE OF ENERGY TO SUBSTITUTE FOR COAL AND PETROLEUM. ONE SIXTH HELD A-POWER MORE ADVANTAGEOUS THAN THERMAL POWER GENERATION IN TERMS OF ENERGY STORAGE. AS TO MINUSES, JUST UNDER ONE THIRD EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION BY RADIACTIVITY, FOLLOWED BY ONE FOURTH FEARFUL OF ENORMOUS DAMAGES POSSIBLE AS RESULT OF ACCIDENT. (C) TWO FIFTHS DID NOT THINK A-POWER GENERATION SAFE, WHILE ABOUT ONE EIGHTH THOUGHT IT SAFE. END SUMMARY.

FULL TEXT OF SURVEY QUESTIONS:

1. SINCE THE OIL SHOCK LAST YEAR, ATOMIC POWER GENERATION H
BEGUN TO BE LOOKED UPON AS A NEW SOURCE OF ENERGY. CONSIDERING
ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES DO YOU THINK JAPAN SHOULD
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 TOKYO 10277 281234Z

FURTHER DEVELOP OWN ATOMIC POWER GENERATION, OR DON'T YOU THINK

SO?: (A) SHOULD DEVELOP, 38.9; (B) DON'T THINK SO, 13.4; (C) CANNOT SAY EITHER WAY, 20.4; (D) DK, 27.4.

- 2. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF ATOMIC POWER GENERATION ARE LISTED ON THIS CARD. PLEASE CHOOSE AS MANY ANSWERS AS YOU THINK ARE CLOSE TO YOUR IPINION. (.7)580)3 430)83): (A) VERY LITTLE ATMOSPHERIC POLLUTION, 10.8; (B) EASIER ENERGY STORAGE THAN THERMAL POWER GENERATION, 16.4; (C) CONCERNED ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVE POLLUTION, 30.2; (D) HIGH TEMPERATURE DISKVGE OF COOLING WATER MAY HAVE SERIOUS EFFECTS, 6.2; (E) FEEL UNEASY BDCAUSE REACTOR TECHNOLOGY HAS NOT FULLY BEEN DEVELOPED, THERE HAVE BEEN SERIES OF ACCIDENTS AND BREAKDOWNS WHOSE CAUSES WERE UNKNOWN, 25.2; (F) TECHNIQUES TO DISPOSE OF RADIOACTIVE WASTES HAVE NOT BEEN ADEQUATELY DEVELOPED, 13.4 (G) POSSIBILITY OF SERIOUS DAMAGE IN CASE OF ACCIDENT, 25.4; (H) IT IS IMPORTANT SOURCE OF ENERGY TO TAKE THE PLACE OF COAL AND OIL, 36.3; (I) OTHER, P.6; (J) DK, 25.4.
- 3. DO YOU THINK ATOMIC POWER GENERATION IS SAFE, OR DON'T YOU THINK SO?: (A) SAFE, 13.8; (B) DON'T THINK SO, 40.3; (C) DK, 45.9.
- 4. SOME OPINIONS ABOUT CONSTRUCTION OF ATOMIC POWER PLANTS ARE LISTED ON THIS CARD. PLEASE CHOOSE AS MANY ANSWERS AS YOU THINK ARE CLOSE TO YOUR OPINION. (MULTIPLE REPLIES): (A) UNDER PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCES. LOCAL RESIDENTS NATURALLY ARE OPPOSED TO CON-STRUCTION OF ATOMIC POWER PLANTS, 25.8; (B) CONSTRUCTION OF POWER PLANTS SHOULD BE PUSHED FORWARD WHILE DEVISING MEASURES PGWARD OPPOSITION OF THE COMMUNITY, 18.4; (C) SAFETY OF REACTORS SHOULD RBE PUBLICIZED SO AS TO RID PEOPLE OF THEIR PRECONCEPTION WH REACTORS ARE DANGEROUS, 23.6; (D) RID LOCAL CITIZENS OF THEIR DISTRUST OF P YAR COMPANIES, 14.6; (E) IN CONSTRUCTING POWER PLANTS. OPINIONS OF LOCAL CITIZENS SHOULD BE FULLY TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, 34.5; (F) CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN TO RETURN PROFITS FROM ATOMIC POWER PLANT TO LOCAL COMMUNITY, 12.7; (G) AM OPPOSED TO DEVELOPMENT OF ATOMIC POWER GENERATION SO LONG AS JAPAN STILL HEAVILY DEPENDS ON THE UNITED STATES FOR NUCLEAR POWER TECHNOLGY, 8.5; (H) AM OPPOSED AS I FEAR CONSTRUCTION OF ATOMIC POWER PLANTS MAY BE DIVERTED TO MILITARY PURPOSES, 7.5; (I) OTHER, 0.6; (J) DK, 30.3.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 TOKYO 10277 281234Z

4. SURVEY WAS CONDUCTED AMONG NATIONAL PROBABILITY SAMPLE OF 1,541 VOTING AGE RESPONDENTS (20 YEARS OR OVER). MILLER

UNCLASSIFIED

	Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006
NNN	
11111	

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: NUCLEAR ENERGY, SURVEYS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 28 JUL 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Authority: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960

Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a Disposition Reason: **Disposition Remarks:**

Document Number: 1975TOKYO10277 Document Source: CORE

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: N/A Film Number: D750259-0939

From: TOKYO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750714/aaaaamxt.tel Line Count: 111 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EA Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED

Review Authority: hartledg Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 07 JAN 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <07 JAN 2003 by ThomasVJ>; APPROVED <02 FEB 2004 by hartledg>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: JIJI PRESS POLL ON ATOMIC POWER GENERATION IN JAPAN SUMMARY: JIJI PRESS CONDUCTED POLL ON ATOMIC POWER

GENERATION TAGS: PARM, TECH

To: USIA

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006